# Evaluation of renal transplantation recipients those who do not use steroid with panel reactive antibody and donor specific antibody

Evaluación de receptores de trasplante renal que no usan esteroides con panel de anticuerpos reactivos y anticuerpos específicos del donante

Mehmet Tanrısev<sup>1</sup>, Tülay Kılıçaslan Ayna<sup>2,3</sup>, Hülya Çolak<sup>1</sup>, Banu Yılmaz<sup>1</sup>, Sibel Ersan<sup>1</sup>, Alper Alp<sup>4</sup>, Cem Tuğmen<sup>5</sup>, İsmail Sert<sup>5</sup>, Bahar Engin Sevgili<sup>6</sup>

## ABSTRACT

Background: Steroids are the mainstream drugs of immunosuppressive regimen in renal transplantation. They are successfully used on induction, maintenance and rejection treatment. Due to complications caused by steroids, treatments are switched to immunosuppressive agents. Graft dysfunction risk caused by reduced total immunosuppression disturbs clinicians very often. We documented the differences among patients by means of clinical presentation and PRA/DSA levels between patients who are using steroids and patients that were prescribed for steroid-free regimen. Methods: 82 individuals who did not use steroid and 52 patients on steroid treatment were included with similar rates of age, sex, primary renal disease, dialysis posttransplant type, follow-up duration and donor type. Pre and posttransplant PRA, DSA levels, posttransplant and current graft function and comorbidities were evaluated. Results: Individuals who do not use steroids were found to have lower posttransplant creatinine level and glomerular filtration rate (GFR) compared to steroid users. Posttransplant and current spot urinary protein/creatinine rates were also lower in the steroid-free group. However DM, BKVN and induction therapy rates were higher in the steroid-free group. PRA and DSA levels were similar in both groups. On the other hand, posttransplant PRA-I levels were significantly higher in those with less steroid use time. Conclusions: Although steroid free regimens usually worry the clinicians, they can be preferred in patients with low immunological risk for rejection to avoid its side effects such as uncontrolled diabetes, obesity, musculoskeletal problems and cataracts.

**KEYWORDS:** renal transplantation; corticosteroids; panel reactive antibody; donor specific antibody

### **RESUMEN**

Antecedentes: Los esteroides son los principales fármacos del régimen inmunosupresor en el trasplante renal. Se utilizan con éxito en tratamientos de inducción, mantenimiento y

Correspondencia: Dr. Mehmet Tanrısev ORCID: 0000-0002-2886-1166 mehmettanrisev@gmail. com

Conflicto de intereses: Ninguno

Recibido: 14-09-2020 Corregido: 19-10-2020 Aceptación: 05-11-2020

<sup>1)</sup> İzmir Tepecik Training and Research Hospital, Nephrology Department, İzmir, Turkey

<sup>2)</sup> İzmir Katip Çelebi Üniversity, Medical Faculty, Medical Biology Department, İzmir, Turkey
3) İzmir Tepecik Training and Research Hospital, Tissue Typing Laboratory, İzmir, Turkey

<sup>4)</sup> Muğla Ŝıtkı Koçman University, Medical Faculty, Nephrology Department, Muğla, Turkey

<sup>5)</sup> İzmir Tepecik Training and Research Hospital, General Surgery Department, İzmir, Turkey

<sup>6)</sup> Dursunbey State Hospital, Internal Medicine Department, Balikesir, Turkey

rechazo. Debido a las complicaciones causadas por los esteroides, los tratamientos se cambian a agentes inmunosupresores. El riesgo de disfunción del injerto causado por la reducción de la inmunosupresión total perturba a los médicos con mucha frecuencia. Documentamos la diferencia entre los pacientes por medio de la presentación clínica y los niveles de PRA/DSA en aquellos que utilizan esteroides y a los que se les prescribió un regimen sin esteroides. Material v métodos: Se incluyeron 82 individuos que no usaban esteroides y 52 pacientes en tratamiento con esteroides con tasas similares de edad, sexo, enfermedad renal primaria, tipo de diálisis, duración del seguimiento postrasplante y tipo de donante. Se evaluaron la ARP pre y postrasplante, los niveles de DSA, la función y comorbilidades postrasplante y actual del injerto. Resultados: Se encontró que las personas que no usan esteroides tienen un nivel de creatinina postrasplante y una tasa de filtración glomerular (TFG) más bajas en comparación con los usuarios de esteroides. Las tasas de proteína/creatinina urinarias postrasplante y puntuales actuales también fueron más bajas en el grupo sin esteroides. Sin embargo, las tasas de DM, BKVN y terapia de inducción fueron más altas en el grupo sin esteroides. Los niveles de PRA y DSA fueron similares en ambos grupos. Por otro lado, los niveles de PRA-I postrasplante fueron significativamente más altos en aquellos con menos tiempo de uso de esteroides. Conclusiones: Aunque los regimenes libres de esteroides suelen preocupar a los clínicos, pueden ser preferidos en pacientes con bajo riesgo inmunológico de rechazo para evitar sus efectos secundarios, como diabetes no controlada, obesidad, problemas musculoesqueléticos y cataratas.

**PALABRAS CLAVE:** trasplante renal; corticosteroides; panel de anticuerpos reactivos; anticuerpos específicos del donante

### **INTRODUCTION**

Avoidance or early cessation of steroids in kidney transplantation is supported by recent guidelines, however later cessation of steroids was not supported recently.<sup>(1)</sup> Steroid-free regimens have been tried to avoid steroids' adverse effects such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, avascular necrosis and osteopenia; nevertheless, an increase is observed in acute rejection rates.<sup>(2-3)</sup> On the first few days of posttransplantation, acute rejection incidence was found lower in steroid-free group, on the other hand there are many restrictions due to design of the clinical trials.<sup>(4-5)</sup> In renal transplantation, acute rejection incidence is higher in those steroid-free immunosuppressive regimens despite the improvement of steroidfree therapies.

In addition, long-term graft survival is not known in patients with acute rejection in a steroid-free immunosuppressive regimen. <sup>(6)</sup> Our knowledge for whether a steroid-free immunosuppressive regimen will become the first line therapy is insufficient. That is why, only selected population can be preferred for this.<sup>(7)</sup> In an updated metaanalysis, discontinuation of the steroid after kidney transplantation significantly increased the risk of acute rejection, there was no difference in patient mortality or graft loss up to five years after transplantation, so prospective long-term studies are recommended.<sup>(8)</sup>

In chronic graft dysfunction, contribution of antibody mediated rejection (AMR) is highly accepted. AMR is triggered by humoral immunity that is mediated by several antibodies, especially donor specific HLAs. These antibodies cause serious problems in renal transplantation and donor specific antibodies (DSA) that occur after renal engraftment cause acute rejection.<sup>(9)</sup> Several clinical trials showed that the presence of DSAs are related with poor graft function. (10-12) Our aim in this study is to emphasize the importance of preventing unnecessary immunosuppression with immunological monitoring. At the same time, it is to increase the graft survival by intervening early in the treatment in the patient who needs it.

### **METHODS**

We evaluated PRA and DSA levels of adult individuals with functioning grafts (25 ml/ min/1,73 m<sup>2</sup> or more) in our transplantation unit that has been working since 1994. Individuals with inadequate information were excluded. We included 82 steroid free individuals and 52 steroid user individuals. By the way, we noted individuals' primary kidney diseases, dialysis type, donor type, duration of transplantation, comorbidities such as diabetes mellitus (DM), hypertension (HT) or cardiovascular disease (CVD), DGF ratios, induction therapy, duration of steroid use, cessation of steroid and its etiology. Pretransplant PRA and posttransplant PRA and DSA levels which had been used for immunological monitorization were also noted with pre and posttransplant current graft function. Steroid cessation time, etiology of avoidance and duration of steroid use before cessation were also noted in steroid free group. Acute rejection or BK virus nephropathy history were noted if present, in both groups.

## SSO method

Sequence-specific oligonucleotides method was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions (Lifecodes HLA SSO Typing Kit Immucor, USA). For the first amplification step, 16 µl of mix containing master mix, H<sub>2</sub>O, and Taq polymerase was added on four microliters of DNA (15-200 ng) in an Eppendorf tube (200  $\mu$ l). The total volume of 20  $\mu$ l samples was placed in the thermal cycle and the program was run. For the second hybridization step, the probe mix was warmed at 56° C for seven minutes. The probe mix was sonicated and vortexed before use. Then, 15 µl of probe mix was added on five microliters of amplicon in 96 well plates, and the samples were placed in the thermal cycler and the hybridization program was run for 20 minutes. During this run, the Luminex fluoro analyzer instrument was prepared for the analysis. When the hybridization program ended at 56° C, 170 µl diluted Streptavidin were added on the samples in the wells, and the wells were placed in the Luminex instrument. The results were analyzed by MatchIt Software Program.

### Panel reactive antibody method

Lifecodes LifeScreen Class I and II ID Kits (Immucorgamma, USA) were used for Class I and Class II identification, respectively. After the 96 well plates were moisturized, wash buffer, patient/control sera and HLA Class I or II ID beads were added into the wells. The plate was incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes in the dark. After incubation the wells were washed with 200 µl buffer for three times. Rev Nefrol Dial Traspl. 2021; 41(4):275-81

Then, conjugate was prepared in appropriate concentration and added into the wells. After incubation at room temperature for 30 minutes in the dark, 150  $\mu$ l wash buffers were added into the wells. The plate was gently mixed in the Luminex Fluoroanalyzer instrument, and the results were analyzed by MatchIt Software program.

## Statistical analysis

Statistical analyzes were performed using IBM° SPSS° 25 (NY, USA) software. The suitability of variables to normal distribution examined analytical using methods is (Kolmogorov-Smirnov / Shapiro-Wilk tests). Descriptive statistics were done by giving the mean ± standard deviation, median and IQR, minimum-maximum value. In categorical variables, frequency and percentage values were given and Pearson's or Fisher's Exact Chi Square test were used for comparison of categorical variables. In comparison of independent groups between continuous variables, t-test was used for variables that conform to normal distribution, and Mann-Whitney U test was used for nonnormal distribution. In comparison of more than two groups, Kruskal-Wallis test was used and after post hoc

Bonferroni correction was used. p<0.05 was considered significant.

## RESULTS

Age, sex, number of transplantation, mismatch, current GFR, acute rejection, posttransplant malignancy, HT presence were similar in both groups. Clinical, biochemical and immunological analysis features of the study groups were shown in **Table 1**. Posttransplant creatinine (mg/dl), actual creatinine (mg/dl), posttransplant eGFR (ml/min per/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>), posttransplant spot urine protein/creatinine, actual spot urine protein/creatinine, DM, BKVN presence and induction therapy were significantly different. Immunological analysis showed no marked difference.

Steroid free individuals' initial therapy was CNI based regimen and 61% of individuals received induction therapy. After cessation of steroid, most patients had continued CNI based regimen. 95% of individuals under steroid treatment were stopped after one year

100 y 1         1.05 ± 0.2         1.02 ± 0.1         0.381           Mismatch         3.45 ± 0.7         3.56 ± 0.6         0.494           Postransplant creatinine(mg/dl)         0.79 ± 0.4         1.30 ± 0.3         <0.00           Actual creatinine(mg/dl)         0.99 ± 0.6         1.44 ± 0.5         <0.00           Postransplant eGFR (ml/min per/1.73 m²)         68.8 ± 12         63 ± 12.8         <0.00           Actual eGFR (ml/min per/1.73 m²)         58.8 ± 19         57 ± 17         0.428           Postransplant spot urine protein/creatinine         0.1 ± 0.2         0.14 ± 0.3         <0.00           Actual spot urine protein/creatinine         0.1 ± 0.4         0.29 ± 1.0         <0.00           Categorical Variables         n (%)         n (%)         p*           Gender(F/M)         32/50         21/31         0.875           Presence of DM         14(17.1)         2(3.8)         0.021           Induction Therapy         50(56,3)         44(35,7)         0.010           Acture rejection         6(7.3)         7(13.5)         0.242           Posttransplant PRA positivities         2(14.3)         7(22.6)         1.000           Pretransplant PRA positivities         3(21.4)         7(22.6)         1.000	Continuous Variable	Steroid-free group (n=82) mean ± SD	Control group (n=52) mean ± SD	<b>P†</b> 0.448	
Mismatch         3.45 ± 0.7         3.56 ± 0.6         0.494           Posttransplant creatinine(mg/dl)         0.79 ± 0.4         1.30 ± 0.3          60.00           Actual creatinine(mg/dl)         0.99 ± 0.6         1.44 ± 0.5          60.00           Posttransplant cGFR (ml/min per/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )         68.8 ± 12         63 ± 12.8          60.00           Actual eGFR (ml/min per/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )         58.8 ± 19         57 ± 17         0.428           Posttransplant spot urine protein/creatinine         0.11 ± 0.2         0.14 ± 0.3 <td>Age (year)</td> <td>46.0 ± 9.5</td> <td>46.4 ± 12.1</td>	Age (year)	46.0 ± 9.5	46.4 ± 12.1		
Posttransplant creatinine(mg/dl)         0.79 ± 0.4         1.30 ± 0.3         <0.00           Actual creatinine(mg/dl)         0.99 ± 0.6         1.44 ± 0.5         <0.00	Tx number	$1.05 \pm 0.2$	$1.02 \pm 0.1$	0.381	
Actual creatinine(mg/dl)         0.99 ± 0.6         1.44 ± 0.5         <0.00           Posttransplant eGFR (ml/min per/1.73 m²)         68.8 ± 12         63 ± 12.8         <0.00	Mismatch	$3.45 \pm 0.7$	3.56 ± 0.6	0.494	
Posttransplant eGFR (ml/min per/1.73 m²)         68.8 ± 12         63 ± 12.8         <0.00           Actual eGFR (ml/min per/1.73 m²)         58.8 ± 19         57 ± 17         0.428           Posttransplant spot urine protein/creatinine         0.1 ± 0.2         0.14 ± 0.3         <0.00	Posttransplant creatinine(mg/dl)	$0.79 \pm 0.4$	$1.30 \pm 0.3$	<0.001	
Actual eGFR (ml/min per/1.73 m²) $58.8 \pm 19$ $57 \pm 17$ $0.428$ Posttransplant spot urine protein/creatinine $0.1 \pm 0.2$ $0.14 \pm 0.3$ $<0.00$ Actual spot urine protein/creatinine $0.11 \pm 0.4$ $0.29 \pm 1.0$ $<0.00$ Categorical Variablesn (%)n (%)p*Gender(F/M) $32/50$ $21/31$ $0.875$ Presence of DM $14(17.1)$ $2(3.8)$ $0.021$ Presence of HT $45(54.9)$ $31(59.6)$ $0.590$ Post-transplant BKVN $4(10)$ $0(0)$ $0.202$ Induction Therapy $50(56.3)$ $44(35,7)$ $0.010$ Actue rejection $6(7.3)$ $7(13.5)$ $0.242$ Posttransplant malignancy $0(0)$ $1(1.9)$ $0.388$ Pretransplant PRA positivities $2(14.3)$ $7(22.6)$ $1.000$ Posttransplant PRA I positivities $3(21.4)$ $7(22.6)$ $1.000$ Posttransplant PRA I positivities $3(4.1)$ $3(6.3)$ $0.679$ Posttransplant PRA positivities $3(4.1)$ $3(6.3)$ $0.679$ Posttransplant PRA positivities $3(4.1)$ $3(6.3)$ $0.679$ Posttransplant PRA positivities $3(4.1)$ $3(6.3)$ $0.679$ Posttransplant PRA Positivities $3(4.1)$ $3(6.3)$ $0.679$ Posttransplant PRA Positivities $3(4.1)$ $3(6.3)$ $0.679$ Posttransplant PRA-DR positivities $3(4.1)$ $1(2.1)$ $1.000$ Posttransplant PRA-DR positivities $3(4.1)$ $1(2.1)$ $1.000$ Posttransplant PR	Actual creatinine(mg/dl)	0.99 ± 0.6	1.44 ± 0.5	<0.001	
Posttransplant spot urine protein/creatinine         0.1 ± 0.2         0.14 ± 0.3         <0.00           Actual spot urine protein/creatinine         0.11 ± 0.4         0.29 ± 1.0         <0.00	Posttransplant eGFR (ml/min per/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	68.8 ± 12	63 ± 12.8	<0.001	
Actual spot urine protein/creatinine         0.11 ± 0.4         0.29 ± 1.0         <0.00           Categorical Variables         n (%)         n (%)         p*           Gender(F/M)         32/50         21/31         0.875           Presence of DM         14(17.1)         2(3.8)         0.021           Presence of HT         45(54.9)         31(59.6)         0.590           Post-transplant BKVN         4(10)         0(0)         0.020           Induction Therapy         50(56,3)         44(35,7)         0.010           Actual splant malignancy         0(0)         1(1.9)         0.388           Pretransplant PRA positivities         2(14.3)         7(22.6)         1.000           Pretransplant PRA I positivities         3(21.4)         7(22.6)         1.000           Posttransplant PRA I positivities         16(94.1)         8(100)         0.484           Posttransplant PRA I positivities         3(4.1)         3(6.3)         0.679           Posttransplant PRA PROSITIVITIES         3(4.1)         3(6.3)         0.679           Posttransplant PRA Positivities         19(25.7)         13(27.1)         0.863           Posttransplant PRA I positivities         3(4.1)         7(14.9)         0.240           Postt	Actual eGFR (ml/min per/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	58.8 ± 19	57 ± 17	0.428	
Categorical Variables         n (%)         n (%)         p*           Gender(F/M)         32/50         21/31         0.875           Presence of DM         14(17.1)         2(3.8)         0.021           Presence of HT         45(54.9)         31(59.6)         0.590           Post-transplant BKVN         4(10)         0(0)         0.020           Induction Therapy         50(56,3)         44(35,7)         0.010           Acute rejection         6(7.3)         7(13.5)         0.242           Posttransplant malignancy         0(0)         1(1.9)         0.388           Pretransplant PRA positivities         2(14.3)         7(22.6)         0.698           Pretransplant PRA I positivities         3(21.4)         7(22.6)         1.000           Posttransplant PRA I positivities         19(25.7)         13(27.1)         0.863           Posttransplant PRA I positivities         6(8.1)         7(14.9)         0.240           Posttransplant PRA I positivities         3(4.1)         8(100)         0.484           Posttransplant PRA I positivities         3(4.1)         3(6.3)         0.679           Posttransplant PRA-A positivities         3(4.1)         3(6.3)         0.679           Posttransplant PRA-A positiviti	Posttransplant spot urine protein/creatinine	0.1 ± 0.2	0.14 ± 0.3	<0.001	
Gender(F/M)         32/50         21/31         0.875           Presence of DM         14(17.1)         2(3.8)         0.021           Presence of HT         45(54.9)         31(59.6)         0.590           Post-transplant BKVN         4(10)         0(0)         0.020           Induction Therapy         50(56,3)         44(35,7)         0.010           Acute rejection         6(7.3)         7(13.5)         0.242           Posttransplant malignancy         0(0)         1(1.9)         0.388           Pretransplant PRA positivities         4(28.6)         10(32.3)         1.000           Pretransplant PRA I positivities         3(21.4)         7(22.6)         0.698           Pretransplant PRA I positivities         3(21.4)         7(22.6)         1.000           Posttransplant PRA I positivities         19(25.7)         13(27.1)         0.863           Posttransplant PRA I positivities         16(94.1)         8(100)         0.484           Posttransplant PRA I positivities         3(4.1)         3(6.3)         0.679           Posttransplant PRA-B positivities         3(4.1)         3(6.3)         0.679           Posttransplant PRA-DR positivities         3(4.1)         3(6.3)         0.679           Posttransp	Actual spot urine protein/creatinine	$0.11 \pm 0.4$	0.29 ± 1.0	<0.001	
Presence of DM         14(17.1)         2(3.8)         0.021           Presence of HT         45(54.9)         31(59.6)         0.590           Post-transplant BKVN         4(10)         0(0)         0.020           Induction Therapy         50(56,3)         44(35,7)         0.010           Acute rejection         6(7.3)         7(13.5)         0.242           Posttransplant malignancy         0(0)         1(1.9)         0.388           Pretransplant PRA positivities         4(28.6)         10(32.3)         1.000           Pretransplant PRA I positivities         2(14.3)         7(22.6)         0.698           Pretransplant PRA II positivities         3(21.4)         7(22.6)         1.000           Posttransplant PRA II positivities         19(25.7)         13(27.1)         0.863           Posttransplant PRA II positivities         16(94.1)         8(100)         0.484           Posttransplant PRA I positivities         3(4.1)         3(6.3)         0.679           Posttransplant PRA-B positivities         3(4.1)         3(6.3)         0.679           Posttransplant PRA-B positivities         3(4.1)         1(2.1)         1.000           Posttransplant PRA-B positivities         3(4.1)         1(2.1)         1.000      <	Categorical Variables	n (%)	n (%)	<b>p</b> *	
Presence of HT         45(54.9)         31(59.6)         0.590           Post-transplant BKVN         4(10)         0(0)         0.020           Induction Therapy         50(56,3)         44(35,7)         0.010           Acute rejection         6(7.3)         7(13.5)         0.242           Posttransplant malignancy         0(0)         1(1.9)         0.388           Pretransplant PRA positivities         4(28.6)         10(32.3)         1.000           Pretransplant PRA I positivities         2(14.3)         7(22.6)         0.698           Pretransplant PRA I positivities         3(21.4)         7(22.6)         1.000           Posttransplant PRA I positivities         19(25.7)         13(27.1)         0.863           Posttransplant PRA I positivities         6(8.1)         7(14.9)         0.240           Posttransplant PRA I positivities         3(4.1)         3(6.3)         0.679           Posttransplant PRA PRA positivities         3(4.1)         3(6.3)         0.679           Posttransplant PRA-D positivities         3(4.1)         1(2.1)         1.000           Posttransplant PRA-DR positivities         3(4.1)         1(2.1)         1.000           Posttransplant PRA-DR positivities         3(4.1)         1(2.1)         0.981 <td>Gender(F/M)</td> <td>32/50</td> <td>21/31</td> <td>0.875</td>	Gender(F/M)	32/50	21/31	0.875	
Post-transplant BKVN         4(10)         0(0)         0.020           Induction Therapy         50(56,3)         44(35,7)         0.010           Acute rejection         6(7.3)         7(13.5)         0.242           Posttransplant malignancy         0(0)         1(1.9)         0.388           Pretransplant PRA positivities         4(28.6)         10(32.3)         1.000           Pretransplant PRA positivities         2(14.3)         7(22.6)         0.698           Pretransplant PRA I positivities         3(21.4)         7(22.6)         1.000           Posttransplant PRA II positivities         19(25.7)         13(27.1)         0.863           Posttransplant PRA I positivities         6(8.1)         7(14.9)         0.240           Posttransplant PRA II positivities         16(94.1)         8(100)         0.484           Posttransplant PRA-A positivities         3(4.1)         3(6.3)         0.679           Posttransplant PRA-B positivities         3(4.1)         1(2.1)         1.000           Posttransplant PRA-DR positivities         3(4.1)         1(2.1)         1.000           Posttransplant PRA-DR positivities         3(4.1)         1(2.1)         1.000           Posttransplant PRA-DR positivities         14(18.9)         9(18.8)	Presence of DM	14(17.1)	2(3.8)	0.021	
Induction Therapy50(56,3)44(35,7)0.010Acute rejection6(7.3)7(13.5)0.242Posttransplant malignancy0(0)1(1.9)0.388Pretransplant PRA positivities4(28.6)10(32.3)1.000Pretransplant PRA I positivities2(14.3)7(22.6)0.698Pretransplant PRA II positivities3(21.4)7(22.6)1.000Posttransplant PRA II positivities19(25.7)13(27.1)0.863Posttransplant PRA I positivities6(8.1)7(14.9)0.240Posttransplant PRA II positivities16(94.1)8(100)0.484Posttransplant PRA-A positivities3(4.1)3(6.3)0.679Posttransplant PRA-B positivities3(4.1)1(2.1)1.000Posttransplant PRA-B positivities3(4.1)9(18.8)0.981Posttransplant PRA-DQ positivities10(13.5)6(12.5)0.208	Presence of HT	45(54.9)	31(59.6)	0.590	
Acute rejection6(7.3)7(13.5)0.242Posttransplant malignancy0(0)1(1.9)0.388Pretransplant PRA positivities4(28.6)10(32.3)1.000Pretransplant PRA I positivities2(14.3)7(22.6)0.698Pretransplant PRA II positivities3(21.4)7(22.6)1.000Posttransplant PRA I positivities19(25.7)13(27.1)0.863Posttransplant PRA I positivities6(8.1)7(14.9)0.240Posttransplant PRA II positivities16(94.1)8(100)0.484Posttransplant PRA II positivities3(4.1)3(6.3)0.679Posttransplant PRA-B positivities4(5.4)5(10.4)0.314Posttransplant PRA-DR positivities3(4.1)1(2.1)1.000Posttransplant PRA-DR positivities14(18.9)9(18.8)0.981Posttransplant DSA positivities10(13.5)6(12.5)0.208	Post-transplant BKVN	4(10)	0(0)	0.020	
Posttransplant malignancy         0(0)         1(1.9)         0.388           Pretransplant PRA positivities         4(28.6)         10(32.3)         1.000           Pretransplant PRA I positivities         2(14.3)         7(22.6)         0.698           Pretransplant PRA II positivities         3(21.4)         7(22.6)         1.000           Posttransplant PRA II positivities         19(25.7)         13(27.1)         0.863           Posttransplant PRA I positivities         6(8.1)         7(14.9)         0.240           Posttransplant PRA II positivities         16(94.1)         8(100)         0.484           Posttransplant PRA positivities         3(4.1)         3(6.3)         0.679           Posttransplant PRA-B positivities         3(4.1)         1(2.1)         1.000           Posttransplant PRA-B positivities         3(4.1)         1(2.1)         1.000           Posttransplant PRA-DR positivities         3(4.1)         1(2.1)         0.314           Posttransplant PRA-DR positivities         3(4.1)         1(2.1)         1.000           Posttransplant PRA-DR positivities         14(18.9)         9(18.8)         0.981           Posttransplant DSA positivities         10(13.5)         6(12.5)         0.208	Induction Therapy	50(56,3)	44(35,7)	0.010	
Pretransplant PRA positivities         4(28.6)         10(32.3)         1.000           Pretransplant PRA I positivities         2(14.3)         7(22.6)         0.698           Pretransplant PRA II positivities         3(21.4)         7(22.6)         1.000           Posttransplant PRA positivities         19(25.7)         13(27.1)         0.863           Posttransplant PRA positivities         6(8.1)         7(14.9)         0.240           Posttransplant PRA II positivities         16(94.1)         8(100)         0.484           Posttransplant PRA positivities         3(4.1)         3(6.3)         0.679           Posttransplant PRA-A positivities         4(5.4)         5(10.4)         0.314           Posttransplant PRA-DR positivities         3(4.1)         1(2.1)         1.000           Posttransplant PRA-DR positivities         14(18.9)         9(18.8)         0.981           Posttransplant DSA positivities         10(13.5)         6(12.5)         0.208	Acute rejection	6(7.3)	7(13.5)	0.242	
Pretransplant PRA I positivities         2(14.3)         7(22.6)         0.698           Pretransplant PRA II positivities         3(21.4)         7(22.6)         1.000           Posttransplant PRA positivities         19(25.7)         13(27.1)         0.863           Posttransplant PRA I positivities         6(8.1)         7(14.9)         0.240           Posttransplant PRA II positivities         16(94.1)         8(100)         0.484           Posttransplant PRA A positivities         3(4.1)         3(6.3)         0.679           Posttransplant PRA-B positivities         4(5.4)         5(10.4)         0.314           Posttransplant PRA-DR positivities         3(4.1)         1(2.1)         1.000           Posttransplant PRA-DR positivities         14(18.9)         9(18.8)         0.981           Posttransplant DSA positivities         10(13.5)         6(12.5)         0.208	Posttransplant malignancy	0(0)	1(1.9)	0.388	
Pretransplant PRA II positivities         3(21.4)         7(22.6)         1.000           Posttransplant PRA positivities         19(25.7)         13(27.1)         0.863           Posttransplant PRA I positivities         6(8.1)         7(14.9)         0.240           Posttransplant PRA II positivities         16(94.1)         8(100)         0.484           Posttransplant PRA-A positivities         3(4.1)         3(6.3)         0.679           Posttransplant PRA-B positivities         4(5.4)         5(10.4)         0.314           Posttransplant PRA-DR positivities         3(4.1)         1(2.1)         1.000           Posttransplant PRA-DR positivities         14(18.9)         9(18.8)         0.981           Posttransplant DSA positivities         10(13.5)         6(12.5)         0.208	Pretransplant PRA positivities	4(28.6)	10(32.3)	1.000	
Posttransplant PRA positivities         19(25.7)         13(27.1)         0.863           Posttransplant PRA I positivities         6(8.1)         7(14.9)         0.240           Posttransplant PRA II positivities         16(94.1)         8(100)         0.484           Posttransplant PRA-A positivities         3(4.1)         3(6.3)         0.679           Posttransplant PRA-B positivities         4(5.4)         5(10.4)         0.314           Posttransplant PRA-DR positivities         3(4.1)         1(2.1)         1.000           Posttransplant PRA-DR positivities         14(18.9)         9(18.8)         0.981           Posttransplant DSA positivities         10(13.5)         6(12.5)         0.208	Pretransplant PRA I positivities	2(14.3)	7(22.6)	0.698	
Posttransplant PRA I positivities         6(8.1)         7(14.9)         0.240           Posttransplant PRA II positivities         16(94.1)         8(100)         0.484           Posttransplant PRA-A positivities         3(4.1)         3(6.3)         0.679           Posttransplant PRA-B positivities         4(5.4)         5(10.4)         0.314           Posttransplant PRA-DR positivities         3(4.1)         1(2.1)         1.000           Posttransplant PRA-DR positivities         14(18.9)         9(18.8)         0.981           Posttransplant DSA positivities         10(13.5)         6(12.5)         0.208	Pretransplant PRA II positivities	3(21.4)	7(22.6)	1.000	
Posttransplant PRA II positivities         16(94.1)         8(100)         0.484           Posttransplant PRA-A positivities         3(4.1)         3(6.3)         0.679           Posttransplant PRA-B positivities         4(5.4)         5(10.4)         0.314           Posttransplant PRA-DR positivities         3(4.1)         1(2.1)         1.000           Posttransplant PRA-DQ positivities         14(18.9)         9(18.8)         0.981           Posttransplant DSA positivities         10(13.5)         6(12.5)         0.208	Posttransplant PRA positivities	19(25.7)	13(27.1)	0.863	
Posttransplant PRA-A positivities         3(4.1)         3(6.3)         0.679           Posttransplant PRA-B positivities         4(5.4)         5(10.4)         0.314           Posttransplant PRA-DR positivities         3(4.1)         1(2.1)         1.000           Posttransplant PRA-DQ positivities         14(18.9)         9(18.8)         0.981           Posttransplant DSA positivities         10(13.5)         6(12.5)         0.208	Posttransplant PRA I positivities	6(8.1)	7(14.9)	0.240	
Posttransplant PRA-B positivities         4(5.4)         5(10.4)         0.314           Posttransplant PRA-DR positivities         3(4.1)         1(2.1)         1.000           Posttransplant PRA-DQ positivities         14(18.9)         9(18.8)         0.981           Posttransplant DSA positivities         10(13.5)         6(12.5)         0.208	Posttransplant PRA II positivities	16(94.1)	8(100)	0.484	
Posttransplant PRA-DR positivities3(4.1)1(2.1)1.000Posttransplant PRA-DQ positivities14(18.9)9(18.8)0.981Posttransplant DSA positivities10(13.5)6(12.5)0.208	Posttransplant PRA-A positivities	3(4.1)	3(6.3)	0.679	
Posttransplant PRA-DQ positivities14(18.9)9(18.8)0.981Posttransplant DSA positivities10(13.5)6(12.5)0.208	Posttransplant PRA-B positivities	4(5.4)	5(10.4)	0.314	
Posttransplant DSA positivities10(13.5)6(12.5)0.208	Posttransplant PRA-DR positivities	3(4.1)	1(2.1)	1.000	
	Posttransplant PRA-DQ positivities	14(18.9)	9(18.8)	0.981	
Posttransplant non-DSA positivities18(24.3)10(20.8)0.198	Posttransplant DSA positivities	10(13.5)	6(12.5)	0.208	
	Posttransplant non-DSA positivities	18(24.3)	10(20.8)	0.198	

#### **Table 1.** Clinical, biochemical and immunological analysis features of the study groups

† Independent t-test was used and \*Pearson's or Fisher's Exact Chi-square p<0.05 was considered significant

of transplantation. Etiology of avoidance was not specified but most common causes were osteoporosis, avascular necrosis, uncontrolled DM and HT.

Transplant time and steroid use duration were compared with other parameters in **Table 2**. Transplant time and steroid use duration were significantly different in those who had received induction therapy. It was observed that those who received induction therapy had shorter transplant and steroid use times. Posttransplant PRA I positivity was found to be more significant for those with shorter steroid use duration.

Groups	Subgroups	Tx Time(month)		_		age Time(month)	
		Mean±SD	Median (IQR) Min-Max	p-Value	Mean±SD	Median (IQR) Min-Max	p-Value
Gender							
	Female (n=32)	$13.9 \pm 5.2$	13.0 (6.0) 4-24	$0.480^{+}$	$4.8 \pm 3.1$	4.0 (4.0) 1-15	0.191
	Male (n=50)	$13.1 \pm 4.8$	13.5 (5.0) 3-24		$5.7 \pm 3.2$	5.0 (5.0) 2-12	
DM							
	No (n=68)	$13.4 \pm 5.0$	13.5 (6.0) 3-24	0.858	5.5 ± 3.2	5.0 (6.0) 1-15	0.590
	Yes (n=14)	$13.9 \pm 5.0$	13.0 (7.0) 6-24		$5.0 \pm 3.1$	3.5 (4.0) 2-12	
CAD							
	No (n=76)	$13.3 \pm 4.7$	13.0 (6.0) 3-24	0.391	5.4 ± 3.2	5.0 (5.0) 1-15	0.411
	Yes (n=6)	15.5 ± 7.6	14.5 (14.0) 4-24		$4.8 \pm 3.0$	4.5 (5.0) 2-10	
HT							
	No (n=37)	13.2 ± 5.1	13.0 (7.0) 3-24	0.877	5.5 ± 3.5	5.0 (6.0) 1-15	0.663
	Yes (n=45)	13.6 ± 4.9	13.0 (6.0) 3-24		5.3 ± 2.9	5.0 (4.0) 2-12	
Donor Type							
71	Cadavere (n=28)	$12.1 \pm 4.4$	12.0 (5.0) 3-24	0.076	4.7 ± 2.8	4.0 (4.0) 2-12	0.569
	Live (n=54)	14.2 ± 5.1	14.0 (8.0) 4-24		5.7 ± 3.3	5.0 (5.0) 1-15	
DGF							
	No (n=72)	13.7 ± 5.0	14.0 (6.0) 3-24	0.198	5.3 ± 3.1	5.0 (4.0) 1-15	0.106
	Yes (n=10)	11.4 ± 4.2	11.5 (5.0) 3-17		5.8 ± 3.6	5.0 (6.0) 2-12	
İnduction	100 (11 10)		1119 (910) 9 17		510 - 510	910 (010) 2 12	
Theraphy *							
	No (n=32)	17.6 ± 3.9	16.5 (6.0) 9-24	<0.001	6.8 ± 3.4	11.8 (6.0) 1-15	<0.001
	ATG (n=16)	10.6 ± 3.8	11.0 (5.0) 3-17		5.3 ± 2.9	5.0 (3.0) 2-12	
	IL-2 ANT (n=34)	10.9 ± 3.4	12.0 (5.0) 3-18		4.2 ± 2.6	3.0 (4.0) 1-10	
Pre Tx PRA		10.9 ± 5.1	12.0 (9.0) 9 10		1.2 ± 2.0	5.0 (1.0) 1 10	
	Negative (n=10)	7.1 ± 2.5	7.0 (5.0) 3-10	1.000	3.2 ± 1.1	3.0 (2.0) 2-5	0.943
	Positive (n=4)	6.8 ± 2.5	8.0 (4.0) 3-8		$2.8 \pm 1.7$	2.5 (3.0) 1-5	
Pre Tx PRA I							
	Negative (n=12)	$7.3 \pm 2.3$	7.5 (4.0) 3-10	0.457	$3.2 \pm 1.3$	3.0 (3.0) 1-5	0.517
Pre Tx PRA II	Positive (n=2)	5.5 ± 3.5	5.5 (-) 3-8		$2.5 \pm 0.7$	2.5 (-) 2-3	
	Negative (n=11)	$7.2 \pm 2.4$	7.0 (4.0) 3-10	0.751	3.2 ± 1.1	3.0 (2.0) 2-5	0.813
	Positive (n=3)	6.3 ± 2.9	8.0 (-) 3-8		2.7 ± 2.1	2.0 (-) 1-5	
Post Tx PRA							
	Negative (n=55)	13.4 ± 5.0	13.0 (6.0) 4-24	0.799	5.5 ± 3.1	5.0 (5.0) 1-15	0.624
Post Tx PRA I	Positive (n=19)	13.0 ± 5.3	14.0 (6.0) 3-23		5.1 ± 3.4	5.0 (5.0) 2-12	
	Negative (n=68)	13.7 ± 4.9	14.0 (6.0) 3-24	0.055	5.5 ± 3.2	5.0 (5.0) 1-15	0.037
	Positive (n=6)	$9.0 \pm 5.0$	9.0 (11.0) 3-15	0.099	$4.7 \pm 3.1$	4.0 (5.0) 2-10	01027
Post Tx PRA I	I						
	Negative (n=58)	$13.4 \pm 5.0$	13.0 (6.0) 4-24	0.864	5.5 ± 3.1	5.0 (4.0) 1-15	0.673
	Positive (n=16)	12.9 ± 5.6	14.0 (6.0) 3-23		5.1 ± 3.6	3.5 (6.0) 2-12	
Post Tx DSA	No (n=64)	13.3 ± 5.3	13.0 (6.0) 3-24	0.757	5.5 ± 3.2	5.0 (5.0) 1-15	0.396
	Yes $(n=10)$	$13.5 \pm 3.1$	14.0 (4.0) 8-18	0.1 )1	$4.8 \pm 3.2$	4.0 (5.0) 2-10	0.570
Post Tx NDSA							
	No (n=56)	13.5 ± 5.0	13.0 (6.0) 4-24	0.924	$5.5 \pm 3.1$	5.0 (5.0) 1-15	0.889
	Yes (n=18)	12.8 ± 5.5	14.0 (7.0) 3-23		$5.3 \pm 3.4$	5.5 (6.0) 2-12	

# Table 2. Tx time, steroid usage time compare with other parameters

Mann-Whitney U test and  $\dagger$  t-test were used and p<0.05 was considered significant.

\* Kruskal-Wallis test was used and after post hoc Bonferroni correction was used

# DISCUSSION

DSAs that occur against renal graft causes antibody mediated rejection (AMR) which is a reason for graft dysfunction.<sup>(13)</sup> It has become essential to detect antibodies. Solid-phase immunity analysis, especially LUMINEX<sup>\*</sup>, is more sensitive than previous complement dependent lymphocytotoxicity (CDC) analysis, that is why it is recommended for high risk patients.<sup>14</sup> We monitorized these parameters periodically and if needed, we perform pathological analysis for direct treatment.

Clinicians should be careful in case of development of AMR as it once happens, no efficient treatment is available. Posttransplant DSA occurrence risk is determined by the intensity of immunosuppressive therapy and patients' compliance. Thus, immunological tests may be helpful in the follow-up for those patients who have quitted steroid therapy.

Our experiment revealed that spot urine/ creatinine ratio and serum creatinine levels are lower in contrast to higher eGFR. This may be related to the selection of patients with low immunological risk. This also may be the sign that we defined patients' risk accurately.

Patients' history of DM, occurence of new onset diabetes mellitus after transplantation (NODAT) or poorly controlled DM under steroid therapy might cause switching therapy to steroid-free regimens. A clinical trial reported that switching therapy to steroid-free regimen was related to lower risk of NODAT occurrence in 3 years of followup.<sup>15</sup> This is possible if there is an early cessation of steroid.

In our work, we found that the suspension of steroids was done too late. Also the fact that BKVN was detected more in this group may be the reason why the clinicians decided to use reduced doses of immunosuppressive agents. The high induction therapy rates of the steroid-free group may have led the clinician to cease steroids more comfortably. The fact that those with less steroid use in the steroid-free group are among those who received more induction therapy supports this view. In a clinical trial it was reported that duration of steroid use is shorter in the group who had taken effective induction therapy, and this was related to lower steroid side effects and better graft function.<sup>(16)</sup>

This study is a retrospective study and tried to compare a steroid-free group with a control group.

The steroid was discontinued for two reasons. In the first place, when a steroid related side effect was present and second, when the patient had poor kidney function. Therefore, the results we have obtained may seem in favor of steroids. For this reason, it is stated that following this study in the long term, conducting a randomised controlled trial will give much better results.

This might be a warning for clinicians that posttransplant PRA I levels are higher in the group that used steroids for a shorter time, but it must be supported by other parameters and more clinical trials. In a clinical trial it was reported that steroid might be quitted early and showed that it could potentially be useful in elderly patients as well as sensitized recipients with PRA <60%, regardless of the degree of HLA sensitivity. However, it seems to be beneficial to continue steroids in young and highly sensitized patients.<sup>(17)</sup>

In this study, choice of the steroid-free regimen was determined by the clinician according to each patient's condition, and the patients' discontinuation was one year after the transplant. Despite this, acute rejection rates were not found to be higher in contrast to literature.

Complement based DSAs/ IgG subgroups might be more useful in immunological monitorization.<sup>18,19</sup> Immunologic responses are important factors for renal transplantation and anti-HLA antibodies may affect long term graft function.<sup>(20)</sup> That's why more innovative approaches should be performed to prevent critical sensitization, occurence of anti-HLA antibodies, posttransplant non immunologic complications and optimal treatment of chronic active ABMR.<sup>(21)</sup>

# **CONCLUSIONS**

Randomized controlled trials are needed to assess the reliability of steroid-free regimens. Until then, it would be safer to choose steroid-free regimens only in a selected group of patients.

# BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1) Pascual J. Steroid avoidance or withdrawal in kidney transplantation. *Curr Opin Organ Transplant*. 2011;16(6):600-5. doi: 10.1097/MOT.0b013e32834c23fa.
- 2) Pascual J, Quereda C, Zamora J, Hernández D; Spanish Group for Evidence-Based Medicine in Renal Transplantation. Steroid withdrawal in renal transplant patients on triple therapy with a calcineurin inhibitor and

Rev Nefrol Dial Traspl. 2021; 41(4):275-81

mycophenolate mofetil: a meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials. *Transplantation*. 2004;78(10):1548-56. doi: 10.1097/01.tp.0000140969.43761.1f.

- 3) Rostaing L, Cantarovich D, Mourad G, Budde K, Rigotti P, Mariat C, *et al.*; CARMEN Study Group. Corticosteroid-free immunosuppression with tacrolimus, mycophenolate mofetil, and daclizumab induction in renal transplantation. *Transplantation*. 2005;79(7):807-14. doi: 10.1097/01. tp.0000154915.20524.0a.
- 4) Woodle ES, First MR, Pirsch J, Shihab F, Gaber AO, Van Veldhuisen P; Astellas Corticosteroid Withdrawal Study Group. A prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled multicenter trial comparing early (7 day) corticosteroid cessation versus long-term, low-dose corticosteroid therapy. *Ann Surg.* 2008;248(4):564-77. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e318187d1da.
- 5) Vincenti F, Schena FP, Paraskevas S, Hauser IA, Walker RG, Grinyo J; FREEDOM Study Group. A randomized, multicenter study of steroid avoidance, early steroid withdrawal or standard steroid therapy in kidney transplant recipients. *Am J Transplant*. 2008;8(2):307-16. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2007.02057.x.
- 6) Luan FL, Steffick DE, Ojo AO. Steroid-free maintenance immunosuppression in kidney transplantation: is it time to consider it as a standard therapy? *Kidney Int.* 2009;76(8):825-30. doi: 10.1038/ki.2009.248.
- 7) Steiner RW. Steroid-free chronic immunosuppression in renal transplantation. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens*. 2012;21(6):567-73. doi: 10.1097/ MNH.0b013e328359226c.
- Haller MC, Royuela A, Nagler EV, Pascual J, Webster AC. Steroid avoidance or withdrawal for kidney transplant recipients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2016;2016(8):CD005632. doi: 10.1002/14651858. CD005632.pub3.
- Colvin RB. Antibody-mediated renal allograft rejection: diagnosis and pathogenesis. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2007;18(4):1046-56. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2007010073.
- Worthington JE, Martin S, Al-Husseini DM, Dyer PA, Johnson RW. Posttransplantation production of donor HLA-specific antibodies as a predictor of renal transplant outcome. *Transplantation*. 2003;75(7):1034-40. doi: 10.1097/01.TP.0000055833.65192.3B.
- 11) Mao Q, Terasaki PI, Cai J, Briley K, Catrou P, Haisch C, *et al.* Extremely high association between appearance of HLA antibodies and failure of kidney grafts in a five-year longitudinal study. *Am J Transplant.* 2007;7(4):864-71. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2006.01711.x.
- 12) Lachmann N, Terasaki PI, Budde K, Liefeldt L, Kahl A, Reinke P, *et al.* Anti-human leukocyte antigen

and donor-specific antibodies detected by luminex posttransplant serve as biomarkers for chronic rejection of renal allografts. *Transplantation*. 2009;87(10):1505-13. doi: 10.1097/TP.0b013e3181a44206.

- Loupy A, Hill GS, Jordan SC. The impact of donorspecific anti-HLA antibodies on late kidney allograft failure. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* 2012;8(6):348-57. doi: 10.1038/nrneph.2012.81.
- 14) Tait BD, Süsal C, Gebel HM, Nickerson PW, Zachary AA, Claas FH, *et al.* Consensus guidelines on the testing and clinical management issues associated with HLA and non-HLA antibodies in transplantation. *Transplantation.* 2013;95(1):19-47. doi: 10.1097/ TP.0b013e31827a19cc.
- 15) Luan FL, Steffick DE, Ojo AO. New-onset diabetes mellitus in kidney transplant recipients discharged on steroid-free immunosuppression. *Transplantation*. 2011;91(3):334-41. doi: 10.1097/ TP.0b013e318203c25f.
- 16) Pathak V, Madhavan D, Narayanasamy K, Kumar S, Ramalingam V, Sengodagounder B, *et al.* Low-dose rituximab and thymoglobulin induction with steroidfree maintenance immunosuppression and protocol biopsies improves long-term patient and graft survival after kidney transplantation: survival and safety outcomes in more than 1100 patients from a single center. *Transplant Direct.* 2019;5(8):e475. doi: 10.1097/ TXD.000000000000223.
- Sureshkumar KK, Marcus RJ, Chopra B. Role of steroid maintenance in sensitized kidney transplant recipients. *World J Transplant*. 2015;5(3):102-9. doi: 10.5500/wjt.v5.i3.102.
- 18) Cozzi E, Biancone L. C1q-binding donor-specific antibody assays help define risk and prognosis in antibody-mediated rejection. *Kidney Int.* 2018;94(4):657-9. doi: 10.1016/j.kint.2018.06.032.
- Ponsirenas RVG, Cazarote HB, Araújo SA, Wanderley DC, Shimakura S, Valdameri JS, *et al.* Anti-HLA donor-specific IgG subclasses and C1qbinding evolution in posttransplant monitoring. *Transplant Direct.* 2018;4(9):e385. doi: 10.1097/ TXD.000000000000823.
- 20) da Silva CK, Meinerz G, Bruno RM, Abud J, Montagner J, Dorsdt DMB, *et al.* Late impact of preformed anti-HLA antibodies on kidney graft outcome. *Transpl Immunol.* 2019;55:101212. doi: 10.1016/j.trim.2019.06.004.
- 21) Thongprayoon C, Hansrivijit P, Leeaphorn N, Acharya P, Torres-Ortiz A, Kaewput W, *et al.* Recent advances and clinical outcomes of kidney transplantation. *J Clin Med.* 2020;9(4):1193. doi: 10.3390/jcm9041193.